	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/918,223	SHANTZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
II Participants: Status of Application: <u>Non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Edward Milbrada</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 17		
Prior art documents discussed: IDS filed 12/12/03		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to incorporate 1) claim 11 into claim 17; 2) the amounts of surfactant and non-cellulosic organic poylmer into claim 17. Applicant's agent request a written rejection.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
and the second s	_, c	
Jalan T. T. San		
(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)